Hunting for Monolayer Boron Nitride: Optical and Raman Signatures
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Properties of few-nanometer-thick boron nitride sheets (often referred to as few-layer BN) have been attracting steady interest over the last several years.† Although individual atomic planes of BN were also isolated and investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM), interest in BN monolayers has been rather limited, especially when compared to the interest generated by its 'sister' material, graphene. Little is known about these characteristics for the case of BN and, in the previous AFM and TEM studies, one had to rely on finding atomically thin BN regions either randomly or close to edges of thick BN flakes. In this Communication, we report optical and Raman properties of mono- and few-layer BN obtained by micromechanical cleavage of high-quality hBN. Because of its zero opacity (the band gap is larger than 5 eV), atomically-thin BN exhibits little optical contrast, even if the interference enhancement using oxidized Si wafers is employed. For the standard oxide thickness of ~300 nm SiO₂, BN monolayers show a white-light contrast of <1.5%, which makes them undetectable by the human eye. Moreover, the contrast changes from positive to negative when crossing from the red to the blue parts of the spectrum, going through zero in the green region where eye sensitivity is at a maximum. We show that the use of thinner SiO₂ (~80 ± 10 nm) offers optimum visualization conditions with a contrast of ~2.5% per layer, similar to that for graphene on transparent substrates in light-transmission mode. Mono- and bilayers can also be identified by Raman spectroscopy due to shifts in the position of the characteristic BN peak centered at ~1366 cm⁻¹ in hBN crystals. Monolayers exhibit sample-dependent blue shifts of up to 4 cm⁻¹. This is explained by a hardening of the E₂g phonon mode due to a slightly shorter B–N bond expected in isolated monolayers, with further red shifts due to random strain induced probably during the cleavage. This strain effect dominates in bilayer, causing red shifts of the Raman peak by typically 1–2 cm⁻¹.

In the case of graphene, its mono-, bi- and few-layer forms are often identified by their optical contrast and Raman signatures Little is known about these characteristics for the case of BN and, in the previous AFM and TEM studies, one had to rely on finding atomically thin BN regions either randomly or close to edges of thick BN flakes. In this Communication, we report optical and Raman properties of mono- and few-layer BN obtained by micromechanical cleavage of high-quality hBN. Because of its zero opacity (the band gap is larger than 5 eV), atomically-thin BN exhibits little optical contrast, even if the interference enhancement using oxidized Si wafers is employed. For the standard oxide thickness of ~300 nm SiO₂, BN monolayers show a white-light contrast of <1.5%, which makes them undetectable by the human eye. Moreover, the contrast changes from positive to negative when crossing from the red to the blue parts of the spectrum, going through zero in the green region where eye sensitivity is at a maximum. We show that the use of thinner SiO₂ (~80 ± 10 nm) offers optimum visualization conditions with a contrast of ~2.5% per layer, similar to that for graphene on transparent substrates in light-transmission mode. Mono- and bilayers can also be identified by Raman spectroscopy due to shifts in the position of the characteristic BN peak centered at ~1366 cm⁻¹ in hBN crystals. Monolayers exhibit sample-dependent blue shifts of up to 4 cm⁻¹. This is explained by a hardening of the E₂g phonon mode due to a slightly shorter B–N bond expected in isolated monolayers, with further red shifts due to random strain induced probably during the cleavage. This strain effect dominates in bilayer, causing red shifts of the Raman peak by typically 1–2 cm⁻¹.

Atomically thin BN crystals were prepared by the standard cleavage procedures using hBN single crystals grown as described earlier. It is important to note that previously we used HOPBN (Momentive Performance Materials) but could only obtain strongly terraced crystallites and no monolayers. BN monolayers mentioned in reference [2] were extracted from a powder (Sigma-Aldrich) and did not exceed a couple of micrometers in size because of the small size of the initial flakes. Using hBN, we can now prepare few-layer samples larger than 100 μm, that is, comparable in size to our single crystals. Figure 1 shows examples of single- and few-layer BN on top of an oxidized Si wafer. The AFM images in Figure 1 illustrate our identification of regions with different thickness.
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Figure 1. Atomically thin BN on top of an oxidized Si wafer (290 nm of SiO$_2$) as seen in an optical microscope using a yellow filter ($\lambda = 590$ nm). The central crystal is a monolayer. For legibility, the contrast is enhanced by a factor of 2. The insets show AFM images of the 3.5 $\mu$m x 3.5 $\mu$m regions indicated by the squares. The step height between the terraces in the images is $\approx$ 4 Å. BN crystals are often lifted above the wafer by up to extra 10 Å, which can be explained by the presence of a water or contamination layer.$^{[2,6]}$

**Figure 2** shows variation of the contrast measured with respect to the bare wafer at different wavelengths ($\lambda$). To this end, we have taken optical micrographs using illumination through narrow bandpass filters.$^{[14]}$ Representative images for three different values of $\lambda$ are also presented in Figure 2. One can see that the contrast is a nonmonotonic function of $\lambda$ and changes its sign at $\approx 530$ nm (BN is darker than the substrate at long wavelengths and brighter at short ones). This is different from graphene, in which case the contrast is either positive or negligible.$^{[14]}$ The contrast increases proportionally as the number of BN layers ($N$) increases. To explain the measured $\lambda$ dependence, we have used an analysis similar to that reported for graphene$^{[14]}$ based on the matrix formalism of interference in thin film multilayers.$^{[16]}$ This requires knowledge of the real ($n$) and imaginary ($k$) parts of the refractive index. We used spectroscopic ellipsometry for our hBN crystals and found $k \approx 0$ and $n \approx 2.2$ with a slight upshift for $\lambda < 500$ nm. Assuming that the optical properties of monolayers change little with respect to hBN, we obtain the dependences shown in Figure 2. The theory accurately reproduces the observed contrast, including its reversal at 530 nm and the absolute value that is related to the extra interference path due to the presence of a transparent monolayer on top of SiO$_2$.

The developed theory allows us to predict at which SiO$_2$ thickness the optical contrast for BN monolayers would be maximal. **Figure 3** shows that this is expected for a thickness of 80 $\pm$ 10 nm. In this case, the contrast remains relatively strong with the same sign over nearly the entire visible range. This prediction has been confirmed experimentally by imaging BN crystals on top of 90 nm SiO$_2$. We have found that the contrast reaches $\approx$ 2.5% per layer already in white light ($\approx$ 3% with a green filter), and this is sufficient to hunt for and directly see BN monolayers under a microscope. It should be noted that it is still much harder to find BN than graphene monolayers, which give a contrast of $\approx$ 10%.$^{[14]}$

**Figure 2.** Changes in the optical contrast with wavelength for mono- and bi-layer BN on top of a Si wafer (290 nm SiO$_2$). We used filters with a 10 nm bandwidth. The solid curves are the dependences expected for mono- and bilayer BN. In the modeling, we have included the influence of a finite numerical aperture (NA)$^{[21]}$ For the microscope objective used (NA = 0.8), we have integrated over the angle assuming a Gaussian weight distribution of width $\theta_{NA}/3$ where $\theta_{NA}$ is the maximum acceptance angle of the objective lens.$^{[22]}$ The lower panels show examples of BN visibility using different filters for the same sample as in Figure 1. For legibility, the contrast in the images has been enhanced by a factor of 2.

**Figure 3.** Optical contrast due to monolayer BN for different $\lambda$ and SiO$_2$ thicknesses (top). The plot is for the case of a typical high-magnification objective (50x) with NA = 0.8 but changes little for NA = 0.7 or 0.9. The lower images show BN on top of a 90 nm SiO$_2$/Si wafer (the lower part is a monolayer). Similar to Figure 1 and 2, the contrast is enhanced by a factor of 2.
The optical contrast increases in integer steps (that is, by a factor of $N$ for $N$ layers of BN) and this can be employed to identify mono- and few-layer forms. However, any contaminant or a thin layer of water, believed to raise atomic crystals above Si wafers, can notably affect the measured contrast. This was previously observed for graphene but the effect becomes much more important for BN because of its weaker contrast. In our experience, it is not unusual for monolayer BN to look like a bilayer. To avoid misidentification and obtain the correct contrast as reported above we annealed our samples at 150 °C in vacuum. For this and other reasons, it is desirable to have another way of confirming BN layer BN using a green laser with its weaker contrast. This was previously observed for graphene but we show that it is also useful for identifying monolayer BN.

Figure 4a shows Raman spectra of mono-, bi- and tri-layer BN using a green laser with $\lambda = 514.5$ nm. BN exhibits a characteristic peak that is due to the E$_{2g}$ phonon mode and analogous to the G peak in graphene. In our hBN single crystals, the Raman peak occurs at ~1366 cm$^{-1}$. One can see in Figure 4a that the peak becomes progressively weaker as $N$ decreases and, for monolayer BN, its intensity is ~50 times smaller than for graphene’s G peak under the same measurement conditions. We have found that the integrated intensity $I_T$ for the BN peak is proportional to $N$ with high accuracy for the first several layers (inset in Figure 4a). Accordingly, once a Raman spectrometer is calibrated for a given substrate, this can be exploited to distinguish between one, two and more BN layers.

In addition to its intensity being proportional to $N$, we have found that the Raman peak is usually shifted upwards in monolayers and downwards in bilayers with respect to its position in bulk hBN (see Figure 4b). Monolayers show relatively large shifts (typically, between 2 and 4 cm$^{-1}$), which vary from sample to sample. The maximum observed blue shift is in agreement with the theory, at $\approx 4$ cm$^{-1}$ for monolayers. However, Figure 4 also shows that mono- and bilayers exhibit unexpectedly strong variations in peak position, whereas these are essentially absent for crystals thicker than 5 layers (not all data for thicker crystals are shown).

To find the origin of these changes, we used different laser powers and ruled out heating effects. We also measured the width of the Raman peaks. The half width at half maximum (HWHM) varied between 10 and 12 cm$^{-1}$ for monolayers and was only marginally larger than the width in hBN ($\approx 9$ cm$^{-1}$). No apparent correlation between the width and peak position was found.

To explain the observed variations, we invoke strain that causes additional sample-dependent red shifts in the case of stretching. This is supported by the theoretical and experimental observation of strain-induced shifts of the Raman peak for the similar material graphene, where the analogous G peak is red-shifted by as much as $\approx 20$ cm$^{-1}$ per 1% of strain. Strain-induced shifts in pristine graphene deposited on a substrate are completely masked by doping effects which often move the G peak by $\approx 10$ cm$^{-1}$. In the absence of such doping effects for insulating BN, strain is expected to become an important factor in determining the Raman peak position. The observed downshifts with respect to the intrinsic blue shift would then imply the stretching of BN monolayers by only a fraction of a percent, which is highly feasible. It seems pertinent to attribute the peak broadening to the same effect. Indeed, strain can also vary within the micrometer-sized laser spot as monolayers try following the substrate roughness. This argument also applies for bilayers and can explain their random shifts and notably smaller broadening (HWHM of $\approx 9$–$10$ cm$^{-1}$). The maximum observed peak position for bilayers in Figure 4b implies a small intrinsic blue shift of $\approx 1$ cm$^{-1}$. We are not aware of any theory explaining the intrinsic shift in BN bilayers.

In conclusion, BN mono- and bilayers can be prepared and identified on top of an oxidized Si wafer using the same mechanical exfoliation technique as widely employed for the case of graphene. BN monolayers obtained from hBN crystals can be as big as samples of cleaved graphene and, therefore, should allow a variety of new experiments and proof-of-concept devices, beyond the previous studies by AFM and TEM. The search for atomically thin BN is more difficult than for graphene as the former does not absorb visible light and, therefore, gives rise only to contrast due to changes in the optical path. Nevertheless, the use of thinner SiO$_2$ and/or narrow optical filters makes it possible to see...
even BN monolayers. To verify the number of layers, one can employ Raman spectroscopy. It allows the identification of monolayers by an upward shift in the Raman peak position. The shift depends on local strain and, therefore, is not as unambiguous as the Raman signatures for mono- and bilayer graphene. The steplike increase in the Raman intensity can be used for further confirmation and for counting the number of layers. We believe that the provided analysis and the strategy for hunting for mono- and few-layer BN should facilitate further work on this interesting two-dimensional insulator.

**Experimental Section**

**Experimental Optical Contrast and Images:** Optical images were taken with a Nikon DS-2MBW monochrome camera in the 12-bit raw mode using narrow band filters (10 nm bandwidth). For each filter, 100 frames were averaged to produce the final image. Exposure times varied in the range 10–300 ms depending on the filter. The white light images were taken with a Nikon DS-2MV color camera (10 frames averaged).

**Calculations of the Optical Contrast:** The reflectance of the incident light was calculated (taking into account interference and multiple reflections) for each angular step ranging from normal incidence to the maximum acceptance angle of the objective lens (determined by its 0.8 NA). The difference in reflectance was calculated for the cases of a) bare substrate and b) substrate with an additional BN layer. The total contrast is the difference in reflectance, normalized to substrate value, integrated over the angle with a weight distribution determined by the experimental conditions (a Gaussian distribution with a width $\theta_{\text{NA}}/3$). The refractive index of BN was taken from our own ellipsometry measurements and those for Si, SiO$_2$ taken from reference [26].

The Raman studies were carried with a Renishaw micro-Raman spectrometer at 514 nm excitation wavelength. AFM topography images in Figure 1 were measured in the intermittent contact mode using a Veeco Dimension V scanning probe microscope.

**Acknowledgements**

The work was supported by EPSRC (UK). We thank Andrea Ferrari for many helpful comments.

Received: September 14, 2010 Published online: January 5, 2011